

# UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/492,557 01/27/00 **ANTHONY** 10990034-1 **EXAMINER** 022879 MM91/0509 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY KIELIN.E P 0 B0X 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS CO 80527-2400 2813 DATE MAILED: 05/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Application No.

09/492,557

Applicant(s)

**Anthony** 

- Office Action Summary Examiner

Erik Kielin

Art Unit 2813



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community</li> </ul>	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da	ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
	y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
<ul> <li>communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). he mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 12,	2001
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This a	ction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 16-22	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
Attachment(s)	101 Learning Commerce (PTO 412) Paper Notes
<ul> <li>15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ul>	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:
	<b>,</b>

### Specification

1. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities:

in line 3, replace the first occurrence of "the" with --to-- for clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hurst et al. (US 5,956,267).

Hurst discloses the sense layer / tunnel layer / reference layer stack 70 (Fig. 8, column 6, lines 27-42); the structure "keeper" (30 in Figs 6-8; in trench in Figs. 9-13; column 5, lines 27-47) formed of a magnetically permeable ferromagnetic material which (1) prevents disruptions to the magnetization state in the sense layer, and (2) has a shape that provides flux closure: a path for magnetic flux transport between a pair of opposing edge regions of the sense layer. (See Fig. 16; column 7, lines 6-15).

Regarding claim 2, see Fig. 13, column 7, lines 32-47.

Regarding claim 3, see Figs. 15-16, column 7, lines 6-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/492,557

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 15, the easy axis of the ferromagnetic material is inherently perpendicular to the easy axis of the sense layer, as made clear from the aforementioned passage at column 7, lines 6-15).

Page 3

3. Claims 1-9 and 13-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being by **Torok** et al. (US 5,587,943), .

Torok discloses a magnetic memory cell including a sense layer; a "keeper" layer 402 formed of a magnetically permeable ferromagnetic material which (1) prevents disruptions to the magnetization state in the sense layer, and (2) has a shape that provides flux closure: a path for magnetic flux transport between a pair of opposing edge regions of the sense layer. (See Fig. 10, column 12, lines 10-39 -- especially lines 16-19.)

Regarding claims 7-8 both the sense and reference layers are adj the keeper structure.

Regarding claim 9, the thinness of 50 Å of the insulting layer 404 between the keeper structure 402 and the sense layer 412 are inherently exchange coupled. *See* In re Swinhart, 169 USPQ 226,229 (CCPA 1971) and In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 15, the easy axis of the ferromagnetic material is inherently perpendicular to the easy axis of the sense layer.

4. Claims 1-3, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Daughton** (US 6,168,860 B1).

Application/Control Number: 09/492,557 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

Daughton discloses a GMR memory cell comprising alternating "soft" ferromagnetic sense layers and "hard" ferromagnetic layers which prevents disruptions to the magnetization state in the sense layer the sense layers are exchange coupled. (See column 3, lines 30-39.)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst.

The prior art as explained above discloses all of the limitations of claims 1-8 except for indicating whether the reference or the sense layer is adjacent the keeper structure. Given that both the reference and sense layers separated by the tunnel barrier are in the bit line 70 of Hurst (as indicated at column 6, lines 27-42), and that it is not indicated as to which of the permalloy layers is the reference ans which is the sense, it is an obvious a matter of design choice as to which layer is closest to the keeper structure. Also given that the specification indicates that it doesn't matter, the instant application provides no evidence of unexpected results for one orientation over the other.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as a matter of design choice to have either the sense layer or the reference layer adjacent the Application/Control Number: 09/492,557 Page 5

Art Unit: 2813

keeper structure as the keeper structure would still serve the intended purpose in for either orientation.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pisharody (US 5,039,655) anticipates at least the independent claims of the instant invention (columns 3-5).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is (703) 306-5980 and e-mail address is erik.kielin@uspto.gov.

The examiner can normally be reached by telephone on Monday through Thursday 9:00 AM until 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Bowers, can be reached at (703) 308-2417 or by e-mail at charles.bowers@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for the group is (703) 308-7722 or -7724.

EΚ

Tuan H. Nguyen Primary Examiner

man A. Ngupen

May 4, 2001